

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/891,022	06/25/2001	Ali Najib Saleh	M-9830 US	9442
7590 08/11/2005			EXAMINER	
Justin M Dillon			CHO, HONG SOL	
Campbell Steph	enson Ascolese LLP			
Bldg 4 Suite 201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4807 Spicewood Springs Road			2662	
Austin, TX 78	3759			
		DATE MAILED: 08/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	ÚK		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
O 55' A - 4' O	09/891,022	SALEH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hong Cho	2662	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Jule</li> <li>2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the closed in accordance with the practice of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed i</li></ul>	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro-		
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)</li></ul>	vn from consideration. 70,72,73,85-91,98,100 and 101 is 71,74-84,92-97,99 and 102-112 i	•	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicativity documents have been received in the contraction of the contraction	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	, 	•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	·	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06232005</u> .		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2662

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 6/7/2005. Claims 1-113 are pending in the instant application.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102(e) that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 29-31, 57-59 and 85-87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Azuma et al (U.S 6430150), hereinafter referred to as Azuma.

Re claims 1, 2, 29, 30, 57, 58, 85 and 86, Azuma discloses restoring traffic on alternate virtual path (restoring a virtual path using an alternate physical path, abstract). Azuma discloses an alternate path computing part computing topology information to find alternate paths by referring to the physical topology information (identifying a plurality of nodes with resources, wherein nodes with resources are ones of said nodes having a resource necessary to support virtual path, column 8, lines 13-17). Azuma discloses executing topology computation by using the physical and logical topology

Application/Control Number: 09/891,022

Art Unit: 2662

tables (identifying an alternate path in response to said identifying said plurality of nodes with resources, said alternate path comprising ones of said nodes with resources, column 10, lines 24-43; figure 8, elements 11-13 and 18).

Re claims 3, 31, 59 and 87, Azuma discloses configuring an alternate physical path by establishing a communication connection between nodes with resources and provisioning virtual path over the alternate physical path (column 8, lines 20-26).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-7, 14, 16, 17, 32-35, 42, 44, 45, 60-63, 70, 72, 73, 88-91, 98, 100 and 101 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Azuma in view of Finn et al (U.S 6728205), hereinafter referred to as Finn.

Re claims 4, 32, 60 and 88, Azuma discloses detecting a failure in a virtual path by receiving a failure message packet (column 8, lines 4-5) and restoring virtual path for mesh networks (column 1, lines 8-10). Azuma fails to disclose provisioning a virtual path on a physical path between a first and a second node of an optical network wherein each one of nodes is coupled to at least one another of nodes by a plurality of optical links. Finn discloses network nodes connected through fiber optic cables and re-routing

messages through a secondary path in case a primary path fails (column 16, lines 1-8). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Azuma to be used in optical network of Finn. The motivation is to get the benefit of high-speed network communications through fiber optic cables so that a prompt restoration is achieved through high-speed fiber optic communications.

Re claims 5, 6, 33, 34, 61, 62, 89 and 90, Azuma discloses all of the limitation of the base claim, but fails to disclose restoring a virtual path less than 2 seconds or 250 milliseconds. Finn discloses restoration time being about 50 milliseconds. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Azuma to be recovered less than 200 milliseconds by employing the concept of automatic protection switching in an optical network of Finn. The motivation is to provide fast restoration scheme and time so that switching to an alternate virtual path is transparent.

Re claims 7, 35, 63 and 91, Azuma discloses detecting a failure in a virtual path by receiving a failure message packet (column 8, lines 4-5).

Re claims 14, 16, 42, 44, 70, 72, 98 and 100, Azuma discloses intermediate nodes receiving a failure message (column 5, lines 15-17).

Re claims 17, 45, 73 and 101, Azuma discloses acknowledging a failure message and changing a state of the virtual path to down and releasing resources of the virtual path (column 6, lines 41-51; column 8, lines 15-18).

Art Unit: 2662

# Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 113 is allowable.

The following is an examiner's statement for reasons for allowance.

Claim 113 is allowable over the prior art of record since the cited references taken individually or in combination fail to particularly teach or fairly suggest restoring a virtual path in an optical network wherein changing a state of virtual path to restoring and identifying an adjacent node with required bandwidth for a virtual path and forwarding a resource request packet to an adjacent node with required bandwidth for a virtual path and waiting for a resource response packet for a predetermined time interval and if the resource response packet is not received within a predetermined time interval, repeating the above procedure for a predetermined threshold times.

#### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 6/7/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 37 Applicant argues that Azuma does not disclose identifying the alternate physical path in response to identifying a plurality of nodes with resources. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Azuma discloses determining alternate paths by using the physical and logical topology information that have identified the plurality of nodes with resources. On page 40 Applicant argues that the Examiner has failed to make a

Art Unit: 2662

prima facie case for obviousness. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Azuma provides enough suggestion or motivation as even indicated by the Applicant and Finn discloses the details of how to execute the system of Azuma to be used in optical network of Finn to achieve a prompt restoration through high-speed fiber optic communications.

Therefore, the Examiner concludes that the rejection of claims stands.

#### Conclusion

- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hong Cho whose telephone number is 571-272-3087. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri during 7 am to 4 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/891,022

Art Unit: 2662

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571-272-3088. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-3088.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ho

Hong Cho Patent Examiner 8/9/2005

JOHN PEZZLO
PRIMARY EXAMINER